Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings



MEMORANDUM

Advisors in: Real Estate

AFFORDABLE HOUSING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

To: Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager

Environmental and Mobility Planning

Berkeley
City of San Diego

A. JERRY KEYSER TIMOTHY C. KELLY DEBBIE M. KERN

DAVID DOEZEMA KEVIN FEENEY KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES, INC.

Los Angeles Date:

From:

KATHLEEN H. HEAD JAMES A. RABE GREGORY D. SOO-HOO

GREGORY D. SOO-HOO
KEVIN E. ENGSTROM
JULIE L. ROMEY
TIM BRETZ

April 1, 2020

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

SAN DIEGO PAUL C. MARRA

I. INTRODUCTION

The City of San Diego (City) engaged Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. (KMA) to undertake a technical analysis to evaluate the estimated impacts and potential cost savings for real estate developers resulting from the City's Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program (Program) on new development within Mobility Zone 4. The City is currently developing new regulations to reduce Citywide Vehicles Miles Traveled (VMT), consistent with the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 743 and the City's adopted Climate Action Plan. The new regulations, known as the Mobility Choices Regulations (Regulations), are intended to support investment and implementation of active transportation infrastructure in areas where VMT can be most efficiently and effectively reduced.

In completing this assignment, KMA undertook the following principal tasks:

- Reviewed background data, reports, maps, and the draft Complete Communities: Mobility Choices
 Regulations Ordinance (Ordinance)
- Conducted a limited review of market sales prices/rents for residential and non-residential land uses

To: Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager April 1, 2020

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Surveyed comparable sales values for both vacant land and improved properties in Mobility Zone 4

- Identified six (6) potential development prototypes likely to occur in Mobility Zone 4 and prepared financial pro forma analyses to measure their financial feasibility
- Evaluated the potential impact on developer profit/return as a result of the proposed Program

This Report has been organized as follows:

- Section II presents the KMA key findings
- Section III provides background on SB 743 and the State requirement to adopt new guidelines for mitigating transportation impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
- Section IV summarizes the City's proposed Program
- Section V provides an overview of the financial pro formas for the development prototypes
- Section VI presents estimates of potential cost savings for real estate developers resulting from implementation of the Program
- Section VII details limiting conditions pertaining to this memorandum report

II. KEY FINDINGS

- By July 1, 2020, all CEQA Lead Agencies must analyze a project's transportation impacts using VMT.
 VMT accounts for a vehicle's true impact on the transportation system as it considers both the number of trips a driver makes along with the distance traveled during each of those trips.
- The City is proposing to implement a new Program through the proposed Mobility Choices
 Regulations. The intent of the Regulations is to reduce Citywide VMT to address impacts of
 development related to noise, air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), and to promote
 public health and enjoyment, by investing in multi-modal infrastructure and measures that will
 result in reductions to Citywide VMT.

While the conversion from Level of Service (LOS) to VMT for purposes of measuring transportation impacts under CEQA becomes effective, lower-density development in areas not well-served by transit may be negatively impacted as VMT mitigation measures may be costly and render projects infeasible.

• The City's proposed Program intends to alleviate the burden of VMT-generated mitigation measures in Mobility Zone 4 by imposing an Active Transportation In Lieu Fee. Active

Page 2

Page 3

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Transportation In Lieu Fee funds collected will be used to pay for multi-modal infrastructure that meets the City's VMT reduction goals.

- Working with City planning staff, KMA selected six (6) project descriptions that serve as tangible
 examples of development that could be anticipated to occur in Mobility Zone 4 in the future. KMA
 tested the financial feasibility of each prototype to determine the developer profit/Return on
 Investment (ROI) prior to implementation of the proposed Program.
- The Program will allow for an expedited entitlement process which will result in costs savings to developers within Mobility Zone 4. KMA estimates that the Program may result in the following types of cost savings to real estate developers:
 - o Architecture and Engineering
 - Entitlement Costs

To:

- Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents
- o Developer Overhead Fee
- Interest Carry
- Off-Site Improvement Costs
- On this basis, then, KMA applied the cost savings to each prototype to recalibrate the developer
 profit and ROI, providing a measure of the potential benefit of the Program on private
 development. KMA found that the proposed Program has the potential to enhance the feasibility
 of development within Mobility Zone 4.

III. SENATE BILL 743

In 2013, the California legislature enacted SB 743 with the intent to "more appropriately balance the needs of congestion management with Statewide goals related to in-fill development, promotion of public health through active transportation, and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions." When implemented, "traffic congestion shall not be considered a significant impact on the environment" within CEQA transportation analyses.

SB 743 required the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to identify and adopt new guidelines for mitigating transportation impacts under CEQA. Current transportation impacts are based on a congestion-based analysis, or level of service (LOS). The new regulations will represent a significant shift in analyzing transportation impacts under CEQA. By July 1, 2020, all CEQA Lead Agencies must analyze a project's transportation impacts using VMT. VMT accounts for a vehicle's

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Page 4

true impact on the transportation system as it considers both the number of trips a driver makes along with the distance traveled during each of those trips.

VMT is currently used to assess environmental impacts under CEQA to measure a project's impact on greenhouse gas emissions, air quality, and energy. According to the OPR, using VMT for analyzing transportation impacts will emphasize the reduction in the number of trips and distances vehicles use to travel to, from, or within a development project. Projects located near transit and/or within in-fill areas generally have lower VMT than projects in rural or undeveloped areas. The shift to VMT analysis under CEQA is intended to encourage the development of jobs, housing, and commercial uses in closer proximity to each other and to transit. Conversely, lower-density development in areas not well-served by transit may be negatively impacted as VMT mitigation measures may be costly and hinder projects infeasible.

IV. PROPOSED ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

The City is proposing to implement the Program through the proposed Mobility Choices Regulations for the purpose of complying with SB 743. The intent of the Regulations is to reduce Citywide VMT to address impacts of development related to noise, air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions, and to promote public health and enjoyment, by investing in active transportation infrastructure and measures that will result in reductions to Citywide VMT. The City has identified four (4) Mobility Zones, as follows:

- Mobility Zone 1 reflects the Downtown Community Planning Area boundary
- **Mobility Zone 2** includes any parcel that falls wholly, or partially, within the State's identified Transit Priority Areas (TPAs)
- Mobility Zone 3 reflects any Community Planning Area boundary with a VMT efficiency that is 85% or less of the regional average for either VMT per capita or VMT per employee
- **Mobility Zone 4** represents any area that is not located within Mobility Zones 1, 2, or 3; Mobility Zone 4 generally reflects the non-urban areas of the City

The Regulations will require that all development located in Mobility Zones 2 and 3 provide on-site Transportation Demand Management (TDM) amenities that reduce VMT. TDM amenities may include a variety of pedestrian improvements, bicycle supportive amenities, transit improvements, or other multi-modal enhancements.

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Page 5

Under the Program, all development located in Mobility Zone 4 will be required to pay an Active Transportation In Lieu Fee instead of funding the cost of VMT-generated mitigation measures. In addition, development projects in Mobility Zone 4 will not be required to provide on-site TDM amenities.

Funds collected from the Program will be used to pay for transportation and VMT-reducing multi-modal infrastructure projects within Mobility Zones 1, 2, and 3, thereby reducing Citywide VMT impacts.

V. FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

To:

In identifying potential development prototypes for the financial feasibility analysis, KMA selected project descriptions that serve as tangible examples of the types of development that could be anticipated to occur in Mobility Zone 4 in the future. The development prototypes were selected through a process which considered recent development patterns in comparable locations, and key market parameters for residential, commercial, and industrial uses within Mobility Zone 4.

Under the proposed Regulations, retail development located in Mobility Zone 4 that is local-serving will not be required to pay the Active Transportation In Lieu Fee. Instead, it will be required to provide active transportation measures that reduce VMT. In addition, affordable housing units that are deed-restricted at 120% of Area Median Income (AMI) or below will be exempt from paying the Active Transportation In Lieu Fee.

The detailed KMA financial pro forma models for the development prototypes are presented in the Appendices attached to this memorandum. The Appendices are organized as follows:

- Appendix A presents the for-sale residential development prototypes
- Appendix B presents the rental residential development prototype
- Appendix C presents the non-residential development prototypes

The following provides an overview of the financial pro forma tables contained in each Appendix.

A. Project Description

KMA evaluated a total of six (6) development prototypes as shown in Exhibits V-1 and V-2 on the following page. KMA reviewed characteristics of residential product types with respect to typical unit mixes and sizes within Mobility Zone 4. In KMA's view, these typical unit mixes and sizes reflect the

Page 6

Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

most feasible development parameters for investors/developers in the current market. Two (2) prototypes (small lot single-family homes and townhomes) are modeled as for-sale housing and one (1) prototype was modeled as rental housing (garden apartments).

Exhibit V-1: Residential Development Prototypes – Project Descriptions							
	Small Lot Single-Family Homes	Townhomes Garden Apart					
Site Size	2.5 Acres	5.0 Acres	5.0 Acres				
Product Type	For-Sale For-Sale Rental						
Density	10 DU/Acre	15 DU/Acre	25 DU/Acre				
Number of Units	er of Units 25 Units 75 Units		125 Units				
Unit Mix	3 to 4 Bedrooms	2 to 3 Bedrooms	1 to 3-Bedrooms				
Average Unit Size	2,010 SF	1,450 SF	910 SF				
Parking Type	Attached Garages	Attached Garages	Surface/Carports				

KMA also reviewed characteristics of non-residential product types within the Mobility Zone 4. Floor Area Ratios (FARs) for non-residential development prototypes ranges from 0.35 to 0.57, with surface parking.

Exhibit V-2: Non-Residential Development Prototypes – Project Descriptions						
	Low-Rise Office Building					
Site Size	5.0 Acres	5.0 Acres	3.0 Acres			
Construction Type	Type III	Tilt-Up Concrete	Type V			
Number of Stories	2 - 3 Stories	1 - 2 Stories	4 - 5 Stories			
Floor Area Ratio	0.40	0.35 0.57				
Gross Building Area (GBA) 87,000 SF 76,000 SF 75,000 SF						
Average Hotel Room Size (Gross) 500 S						
Parking Type	Surface	Surface	Surface			

Tables A-1, B-1, and C-1 present the general project description, including site size, residential density or Floor Area Ratio (FAR), gross building area (GBA), residential unit mix, and parking type and count, as applicable, for each development prototype.

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

B. Estimate of Development Costs

To:

KMA's estimate of development costs reflect costs under current market conditions and before any cost savings realized by the proposed Program. Tables A-2, B-2, and C-2 present estimated development costs for each prototype, including direct, indirect, permits and fees, financing, and land acquisition costs as described below.

- Direct construction costs consist of items such as on- and off-site improvements, parking, shell
 construction, residential amenities, tenant improvements, and contingency. KMA also worked
 with the City's transportation consultant, Chen Ryan Associates, to determine the appropriate
 level and cost for off-site improvements. For all prototypes, KMA has assumed no payment of
 prevailing wages. Direct construction costs assume that the hypothetical development sites do
 not require demolition of existing improvements or relocation of existing occupants.
- Indirect costs consist of architecture, engineering, entitlements, traffic studies/environmental documents, legal and accounting, taxes and insurance, developer fee, marketing/lease-up/sales, and contingency.
- Permits and fees consist of City Development and Impact Fees (DIFs), Regional Transportation
 Congestion Improvement (RTCIP) Fee, Inclusionary In Lieu Fee (for the residential prototypes), the
 City's Housing Impact Fee (for the non-residential prototypes), San Diego Unified School District
 Impact Fee, and other City permits and fees.
- Financing costs consist of such items as loan fees, interest during construction and lease-up/sales, and homeowner association dues on unsold units (for-sale residential).
- Land acquisition costs are based on KMA's evaluation of comparable land sales in Mobility Zone 4.

The development costs shown in Tables A-2, B-2, and C-2 do not assume any cost savings realized by the proposed Program.

C. Project Revenues

Table A-3 presents the estimated gross sales proceeds for the for-sale residential product types. Tables B-3 and C-3 present the estimated Net Operating Income (NOI) for the rental residential and non-residential product types. The KMA estimates of market prices and rental rates are based on an

Page 7

Page 8

Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

assessment of current market conditions and review of current market rents/pricing for comparable developments in Mobility Zone 4.

D. Developer Profit/Return on Investment

For for-sale residential, KMA used the developer profit metric to gauge the feasibility of the single-family and townhome product types. Developer profit is calculated as gross sales revenue, less estimated development costs and an associated cost of sale. The estimated developer profit for each for-sale product type is expressed as a percent of sales value and can be found in Table A-3. Industry standard target returns for for-sale residential development typically range between 10% and 12% of project value.

For the rental product types, KMA used the Return on Investment (ROI) metric to gauge the feasibility of garden apartments, low-rise office building, industrial business park, and select-service hotel product types. ROI is calculated as stabilized annual NOI divided by total development costs. KMA estimates of developer profit and ROI for rental product types can be found in Tables B-4 and C-4. Industry standard target returns for these types of development typically range between 5% and 9%.

VI. ESTIMATED IMPACTS AND POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM

A. Potential Cost Savings from Proposed Program

The Program will allow for an expedited entitlement process which will result in costs savings to developers within Mobility Zone 4. KMA estimates that the Program may result in the types of beneficial impacts to developers summarized in Exhibit VI-1 below.

Exhibit VI-1: Potential Cost Savings from Proposed Program						
		KMA Order-of-Magnitude				
Type of Cost Savings	Nature of Impact	Estimate of Cost Savings				
Architecture & Engineering	Reduction due to expedited entitlement	Approximately 10% reduction in				
	process	Architecture and Engineering				
		costs				
Entitlement Costs	Reduction due to expedited entitlement	Approximately 20% reduction in				
	process	entitlement costs				
Traffic Studies/	Eliminates need for full traffic study and	Cost of traffic study and other				
Environmental Documents	other environmental documents	environmental documents				
		ranging between \$30,000 to				
		\$40,000				

improvements costs for industrial

development (1)

Page 9

Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

regulations

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Exhibit VI-1: Potential Cost Savings from Proposed Program								
		KMA Order-of-Magnitude						
Type of Cost Savings	Nature of Impact	Estimate of Cost Savings						
Developer Overhead Fee	Expedited entitlement period results in	Decrease in overhead/project						
	time savings ranging between 3 to 6	management due to reduced						
	months, or an average of 4.5 months	entitlement risk						
Interest Carry	Expedited entitlement period results in	Decrease in interest carry costs						
	time savings ranging between 3 to 6	during reduced entitlement						
	months, or an average of 4.5 months	period						
Off-Site Improvement Costs	Eliminates off-site improvement costs	Decrease in off-site						

⁽¹⁾ Source: Chen Ryan Associates and City Planning Department. Based on a survey of recent non-residential development applications within Mobility Zone 4, only industrial development was required to implement transportation-related mitigation measures.

required by current Level of Service

B. Impact of Cost Savings on Developer Profit/ROI

Exhibit VI-2 below presents the KMA estimate of potential cost savings for the residential development prototypes. Based on the above factors and assumptions, KMA estimates that developers of residential developments in Mobility Zone 4 may realize cost savings ranging from \$5,100 to \$11,200 per unit.

Exhibit VI-2: Residential Development Prototypes – Potential Cost Savings from Program									
Small Lot Single- Family Homes Townhomes Garden Apa									
Cost Savings on A&E	\$1,000/unit	\$600/unit	\$300/unit						
Cost Savings on Entitlement Costs	\$1,700/unit	\$1,700/unit	\$1,700/unit						
Cost Savings on Traffic Studies/ Environmental Documents	\$1,200/unit	\$400/unit	\$200/unit						
Cost Savings on Developer Fee	\$4,300/unit	\$3,500/unit	\$1,000/unit						
Interest Carry Savings \$3,000/unit \$3,300/unit \$1,900/unit									
Total Potential Cost Savings from Program	\$11,200/unit	\$9,500/unit	\$5,100/unit						

Detailed calculations for the potential cost savings for residential development can be found in Tables A-4 and B-5.

Page 10

Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Exhibit VI-3 presents the KMA estimates of potential cost savings for the non-residential development prototypes. Based on the above factors and assumptions, it is estimated that developers of non-residential development in Mobility Zone 4 may realize cost savings of \$6.25 per SF GBA for a low-rise office building; \$5.65 per SF GBA for an industrial business park; and \$2,800 per room for a hotel development.

Exhibit VI-3: Non-Residential Development Prototypes – Potential Cost Savings from Program							
	Low-Rise Office Building	Industrial Business Park	Select-Service Hotel				
Cost Savings from Off-Site Improvement Costs	\$0.00/SF GBA	\$1.94/SF GBA	\$0.00/SF GBA				
Cost Savings on A&E	\$0.63/SF GBA	\$0.26/SF GBA	\$0.69/SF GBA				
Cost Savings on Entitlement Costs	\$0.84/SF GBA	\$0.52/SF GBA	\$0.91/SF GBA				
Cost Savings on Traffic Studies/ Environmental Documents	\$0.46/SF GBA	\$0.53/SF GBA	\$0.53/SF GBA				
Cost Savings on Developer Overhead Fee	\$1.27/SF GBA	\$0.67/SF GBA	\$1.19/SF GBA				
Interest Carry Savings	\$3.04/SF GBA	\$1.74/SF GBA	\$2.21/SF GBA				
Total Potential Cost Savings from Program	\$6.25/SF GBA	\$5.65/SF GBA	\$5.53/SF GBA \$2,800/Room				

Detailed calculations for the potential cost savings for non-residential development can be found in Table C-5.

KMA applied the estimated cost savings to each development prototype to measure the impact on developer profit and ROI. It should be noted that the development budgets do not include the proposed Active Transportation In Lieu Fee. Exhibit VI-4 presents a comparison of developer profit and ROI for the residential development prototypes before and after the Program.

Exhibit VI-4: Residential Development Prototypes – Developer Profit/Return on Investment										
	Small Lot Single- Family Homes Townhomes Garden Apartments									
Developer Profit										
Before Program	\$74,700/unit	\$60,300/unit	\$77,900/unit							
After Program	ter Program \$85,900/unit \$69,800/unit \$83,000/unit									

Page 11

Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

Exhibit VI-4: Residential Development Prototypes – Developer Profit/Return on Investment									
	Small Lot Single- Family Homes Townhomes Garden Apa								
% of Project Value									
Before Program	10.4%	10.4%	18.9%						
After Program	11.9%	11.9% 12.0%							
Return on Investment (ROI)									
Before Program	does not apply	dan sahasah							
After Program	does not apply	does not apply	5.9%						

Exhibit VI-5 below illustrates the KMA findings regarding estimated developer profits/ROI for the non-residential development prototypes, after applying the potential cost savings estimated to result from the proposed Program.

Exhibit VI-5: Non-Residential Development Prototypes – Developer Profit/Return on Investment							
	Low-Rise Office Building	Select-Service Hotel					
Developer Profit							
Before Program	\$38/SF GBA	\$25/SF GBA	\$34,000/Room				
After Program	\$44/SF GBA	\$30/SF GBA	\$36,300/Room				
% of Project Value							
Before Program	8.8%	9.7%	15.0%				
After Program	10.2%	10.2% 11.9%					
Return on Investment (ROI)							
Before Program	7.4%	8.0%	9.1%				
After Program	7.5%	8.2%	9.3%				

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

VII. LIMITING CONDITIONS

To:

1. KMA has made extensive efforts to confirm the accuracy and timeliness of the information contained in this document. Although KMA believes all information in this document is correct, it does not guarantee the accuracy of such and assumes no responsibility for inaccuracies in the information provided by third parties.

- 2. The findings are based on economic rather than political considerations. Therefore, they should be construed neither as a representation nor opinion that government approvals for development can be secured. No guarantee is made as to the possible effect on development of current or future Federal, State, or local legislation including environmental or ecological matters.
- 3. The analysis, opinions, recommendations, and conclusions of this document are KMA's informed judgment based on market and economic conditions as of the date of this report. Due to the volatility of market conditions and complex dynamics influencing the economic conditions of the building and development industry, conclusions and recommended actions contained herein should not be relied upon as sole input for final business decisions regarding current and future development and planning.
- 4. Development opportunities are assumed to be achievable during the specified time frame. A change in development schedule requires that the conclusions contained herein be reviewed for validity. If an unforeseen change occurs in the local or national economy, the analysis and conclusions contained herein may no longer be valid.
- 5. Any estimates of development costs, project income, and/or value in this evaluation are based on the best available project-specific data as well as the experiences of similar projects. They are not intended to be predictions of the future for the specific project. No warranty or representation is made that any of these estimates or projections will actually materialize.
- 6. It has been assumed that the value of the property will not be impacted by the presence of any soils, toxic, or hazardous conditions that require remediation to allow development. Additionally, it is assumed that perceived toxic conditions (if any) on surrounding properties will not affect the value of the property.
- 7. KMA is not advising or recommending any action be taken by the City with respect to any prospective, new or existing municipal financial products or issuance of municipal securities (including with respect to the structure, timing, terms and other similar matters concerning such financial products or issues);

Page 12

To: Heidi Vonblum, Program Manager April 1, 2020

Subject: Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program

Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis

8. KMA is not acting as a municipal advisor to the City and does not assume any fiduciary duty hereunder, including, without limitation, a fiduciary duty to the City pursuant to Section 15B of the Exchange Act with respect to the services provided hereunder and any information and material contained in KMA's work product; and

9. The City shall discuss any such information and material contained in KMA's work product with any and all internal and/or external advisors and experts, including its own municipal advisors, that it deems appropriate before acting on the information and material.

attachments

Page 13

APPENDIX A

FOR-SALE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPES

Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program
Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis
City of San Diego

TABLE A-1

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

		Small Lot Single-Family Homes	Townhomes		
l.	Site Size Average Lot Size (Net)	2.5 Acres ⁽¹⁾ 3,500 SF/Lot	5.0 Acres 		
II.	Construction Type	Type V	Туре V		
III.	Number of Stories	2 Stories	3 Stories		
IV.	Density (Gross)	10 Units/Acre	15 Units/Acre		
v.	Number of Units	25 Units	75 Units		
VI.	Gross Building Area				
	Net Saleable SF	50,200 SF 100%	108,900 SF 98%		
	Community/Recreation Room	0 SF 0%	2,500 SF 2%		
	Common Area/Circulation	<u>0</u> SF <u>0%</u>	<u>0</u> SF 0%		
	Gross Building Area (GBA)	50,200 SF 100%	111,400 SF 100%		
	Average Unit Size	2,010 SF	1,450 SF		
VII.	Parking				
	Parking Spaces	50 Spaces	150 Spaces		
	Parking Ratio	2.00 Spaces/Unit	2.00 Spaces/Unit		
	Туре	Attached Garages	Attached Garages		

⁽¹⁾ Assumes 20% of gross site area is dedicated to roads, open space, and environmental easements.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

DEVELOPMENT COSTS CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Small Lot Single-Family Homes				Townhor	nes
	<u>Total</u>	Per Unit	<u>Comments</u>	<u>Total</u>	Per Unit	Comments
I. Direct Costs						
Off-Site Improvements (1)(2)	\$0	\$0	\$0 /SF Land	\$0	\$0	\$0 /SF Land
On-Site Improvements (1)(2)	\$1,634,000	\$65,400	\$15 /SF Land	\$1,525,000	\$20,300	\$7 /SF Land
Parking	\$0	\$0	Included Below	\$0	\$0	Included Below
Shell Construction	\$6,024,000	\$241,000	\$120 /SF GBA	\$15,596,000	\$207,900	\$140 /SF GBA
FF&E/Amenities	\$38,000	\$1,500	Allowance	\$131,000	\$1,750	Allowance
Contingency	\$385,000	<u>\$15,400</u>	5.0% of Directs	<u>\$863,000</u>	<u>\$11,500</u>	5.0% of Directs
Subtotal Direct Costs	\$8,081,000	\$323,200	\$161 /SF GBA	\$18,115,000	\$241,500	\$163 /SF GBA
II. Indirect Costs						
Architecture & Engineering	\$242,000	\$9,700	3.0% of Directs	\$453,000	\$6,000	2.5% of Directs
Cost of Entitlements	\$213,000	\$8,500	2.6% of Directs	\$638,000	\$8,500	3.5% of Directs
Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents	\$30,000	\$1,200	0.4% of Directs	\$30,000	\$400	0.2% of Directs
Legal & Accounting	\$162,000	\$6,500	2.0% of Directs	\$362,000	\$4,800	2.0% of Directs
Taxes & Insurance	\$162,000	\$6,500	2.0% of Directs	\$362,000	\$4,800	2.0% of Directs
Developer Fee	\$722,000	\$28,900	4.0% of Value	\$1,742,000	\$23,200	4.0% of Value
Marketing/Sales	\$541,000	\$21,600	3.0% of Value	\$1,306,000	\$17,400	3.0% of Value
Contingency	\$104,000	<u>\$4,200</u>	5.0% of Indirects	<u>\$245,000</u>	<u>\$3,300</u>	5.0% of Indirects
Subtotal Indirect Costs	\$2,176,000	\$87,000	26.9% of Directs	\$5,138,000	\$68,500	28.4% of Directs
III. Permits and Fees						
City Development Impact Fees (DIFs) (3)(4)	\$925,000	\$37,000	\$18 /SF GBA	\$2,775,000	\$37,000	\$25 /SF GBA
RTCIP Fee (3)	\$74,000	\$2,950	\$2,950 /Unit	\$177,000	\$2,360	\$2,360 /Unit
Inclusionary In Lieu Fee ⁽⁴⁾	\$762,000	\$30,500	\$15.18 /SF Net	\$1,653,000	\$22,000	\$15.18 /SF Net
San Diego Unified School District Impact Fee (5)	\$205,000	\$8,200	\$4.08 /SF GBA	\$455,000	\$6,100	\$4.08 /SF GBA
Other City Permits and Fees (2)(7)	<u>\$151,000</u>	\$6,000	\$3 /SF GBA	<u>\$334,000</u>	\$4,500	\$3 /SF GBA
Subtotal Permits & Fees	\$2,117,000	\$84,700	26.2% of Directs	\$5,394,000	\$71,900	29.8% of Directs
IV. Financing Costs	\$808,000	\$32,300	10.0% of Directs	\$1,449,000	\$19,300	8.0% of Directs
V. Subtotal Development Costs	\$13,182,000	\$527,300	\$263 /SF GBA	\$30,096,000	\$401,300	\$270 /SF GBA
VI. Add: Land Acquisition Costs	\$2,178,000	\$87,100	\$20 /SF Land	\$7,623,000	\$101,600	\$35 /SF Land
VII. Total Development Costs	\$15,360,000	\$614,400	\$306 /SF GBA	\$37,719,000	\$502,900	\$339 /SF GBA

⁽¹⁾ Does not include allowance for demolition, remediation, or other extraordinary site conditions.

⁽²⁾ Estimate; not verified by KMA or City.

⁽³⁾ Source: City of San Diego FY 2020 Planning Department Fee and Deposit Schedule.

⁽⁴⁾ Reflects the median rates for single-family and multi-family residential in the FBA communities.

⁽⁵⁾ Source: City of San Diego Inclusionary Ordinance, as of July 1, 2020.

⁽⁶⁾ Source: San Diego Unified School District, as of January 1, 2020.

⁽⁷⁾ Reflects plan check, building permit, inspections, and other processing fees; water and sewer capacity charges; and fire permit fees.

TABLE A-3

GROSS SALES PROCEEDS AND DEVELOPER PROFIT
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Small Lot Single-Family Homes								Townh	omes	
I. Gross Sales Proceeds	# Units	<u>Unit Size</u>	<u>\$/SF</u>	\$/Unit	<u>Total</u>	# Units	<u>Unit Size</u>	<u>\$/SF</u>	\$/Unit	<u>Total</u>
Two Bedroom	0					37	1,300 SF	\$425	\$552,500	\$20,442,500
Three Bedroom	12	1,800 SF	\$385	\$693,000	\$8,316,000	38	1,600 SF	\$380	\$608,000	\$23,104,000
Four Bedroom	<u>13</u>	2,200 SF	<u>\$340</u>	<u>\$748,000</u>	\$9,724,000	<u>0</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
Total/Average	25	2,010 SF	\$359	\$721,600	\$18,040,000	75	1,450 SF	\$400	\$580,620	\$43,546,500
II. Developer Profit Gross Sales Proceeds (Less) Cost of Sale (Less) Total Development Costs		4.50%	of Value	\$18,040,000 (\$812,000) (\$15,360,000)			3.00%	of Value	\$43,546,500 (\$1,306,000) (\$37,719,000)	
Total Developer Profit - Prior to VMT Fee Program \$1,868,000								\$4,521,500		
Per Unit					\$74,700					\$60,300
% of Total Developme	ent Costs				12.2%					12.0%
% of Value					10.4%					10.4%

ESTIMATED POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

TABLE A-4

			Small Lot Single- Family Homes	Townhomes
ı.	Cost Savings on Architecture & Engineering Due to Expedited	Entitlemen	nts	
	Typical Architecture & Engineering Costs Per Unit		\$9,700 /Unit	\$6,000 /Unit
	(Less) Reduction in Architecture & Engineering Costs @	10%	(\$1,000) /Unit	<u>(\$600)</u> /Unit
	Net Architecture and Engineering Costs		\$8,700 /Unit	\$5,400 /Unit
II.	Cost Savings on Entitlement Costs Due to Expedited Entitlem	ents		
	Typical Entitlement Costs Per Unit		\$8,500 /Unit	\$8,500 /Unit
	(Less) Reduction in Entitlement Costs @	20%	(\$1,700) /Unit	<u>(\$1,700)</u> /Unit
	Net Entitlement Costs		\$6,800 /Unit	\$6,800 /Unit
III.	Cost Savings on Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents Typical Traffic Study/Environmental Document Costs Per U Reduction in Traffic Study/Environmental Document Costs		\$1,200 /Unit (<u>\$1,200)</u> /Unit	\$400 /Unit <u>(\$400)</u> /Unit
	Net Traffic Study/Environnmental Document Costs		\$0 /Unit	\$0 /Unit
IV.	Cost Savings on Developer Overhead Fee			
	A. Development Period ⁽¹⁾			
	Predevelopment Period		12 Months	12 Months
	Construction Period		15 Months	12 Months
	Sales Period Total Development Period ⁽¹⁾		<u>3</u> Months 30 Months	6 Months 30 Months
	Total Development Feriod		50 MOULTS	30 Months
	B. Estimated Time Savings		4.5 Months	4.5 Months
	Time Savings as % of Total Development Period		15%	15%
	C. Developer Fee During Total Development Period		\$28,900 /Unit	\$23,200 /Unit
	Estimated Reduction in Savings per Unit		15%	15%
	Reduction in Developer Fee		<u>(\$4,300)</u> /Unit	<u>(\$3,500)</u> /Unit
	Net Developer Overhead Fee		\$24,600 /Unit	\$19,700 /Unit

 $[\]begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{(1)} Assumes development period phases overlap.} \end{tabular}$

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE A-4

ESTIMATED POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

			Small Lot Single- Family Homes	Townhomes
٧.	Co	st Savings on Interest Carry		
	A.	Land		
		Land Costs per Unit	\$87,100 /Unit	\$101,600 /Unit
		Average Balance Out	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>
		Amount Financed	\$87,100 /Unit	\$101,600 /Unit
	В.	Predevelopment Costs		
		Net Architecture & Engineering Costs	\$8,700 /Unit	\$5,400 /Unit
		Net Entitlement Costs	\$6,800 /Unit	\$6,800 /Unit
		Net Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents	\$0 /Unit	\$0 /Unit
		Net Developer Overhead Fee	<u>\$24,600</u> /Unit	<u>\$19,700</u> /Unit
		Total Predevelopment Costs	\$40,100 /Unit	\$31,900 /Unit
		Average Balance Out	<u>50%</u>	<u>50%</u>
		Amount Financed	\$20,050 /Unit	\$15,950 /Unit
	C.	Total Amount Financed (A+B)	\$107,150 /Unit	\$117,550 /Unit
	D.	Time Savings	4.5 Months	4.5 Months
	Ε.	Cost of Funds	7.5% /Year	7.5% /Year
	F.	Total Savings on Interest Carry	\$3,000 /Unit	\$3,300 /Unit
	G.	Total Financing Costs (Less) Savings on Interest Carry	\$32,300 /Unit (\$3,000) /Unit	\$19,300 /Unit (<u>\$3,300)</u> /Unit
		Net Financing Costs	\$29,300 /Unit	\$16,000 /Unit
VI.	To	tal Potential Cost Savings from Program		
	A.	Architecture and Engineering (I)	\$1,000 /Unit	\$600 /Unit
	В.	Entitlement Costs (II)	\$1,700 /Unit	\$1,700 /Unit
	C.	Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents (III)	\$1,200 /Unit	\$400 /Unit
	D.	Developer Overhead Fee (IV)	\$4,300 /Unit	\$3,500 /Unit
	Ε.	Interest Carry (V)	\$3,000 /Unit	\$3,300 /Unit
	To	al Potential Cost Savings from Program	\$11,200 /Unit	\$9,500 /Unit

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE A-5

IMPACT ON DEVELOPER PROFIT FROM PROGRAM

CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Small Lot Single- Family Homes	Townhomes
I. Developer Profit - Before Program		
Per Unit	\$74,700 /Unit	\$60,300 /Unit
% of Total Development Costs	12.2%	12.0%
% of Value	10.4%	10.4%
II. Adjusted Developer Profit - After Program		
Per Unit	\$85,900 /Unit	\$69,800 /Unit
% of Total Development Costs	14.0%	13.9%
% of Value	11.9%	12.0%
III. Difference (B-A)		
Per Unit	\$11,200 /Unit	\$9,500 /Unit

APPENDIX B

RENTAL RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPE

Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program
Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis
City of San Diego

TABLE B-1

PROJECT DESCRIPTION CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Garden Apartments

I.	Site Size	5.0 Acres

II. Construction Type Type V

III. Number of Stories 3 Stories

IV. Density (Gross) 25 Units/Acre

V. Number of Units 125 Units

VI. Gross Building Area

Net Rentable SF	113,250 SF	98%
Community Room/Leasing Office	2,500 SF	2%
Common Area/Circulation	<u>0</u> SF	<u>0%</u>
Gross Building Area (GBA)	115,750 SF	100%

Average Unit/Room Size 910 SF

VII. Parking

Parking Spaces 225 Spaces
Parking Ratio 1.80 Spaces/Unit
Type Surface/Carports

TABLE B-2

DEVELOPMENT COSTS

CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

		Garden Apartments				
		<u>Total</u>	Per Unit	Comments		
ı.	Direct Costs					
	Off-Site Improvements (1)(2)	\$0	\$0	\$0 /SF Land		
	On-Site Improvements (1)(2)	\$1,525,000	\$12,200	\$7 /SF Land		
	Parking	\$0	\$0	Included Above		
	Shell Construction	\$17,363,000	\$138,900	\$150 /SF GBA		
	FF&E/Amenities	\$344,000	\$2,750	Allowance		
	Contingency	<u>\$962,000</u>	<u>\$7,700</u>	5.0% of Directs		
	Subtotal Direct Costs	\$20,194,000	\$161,600	\$174 /SF GBA		
II.	Indirect Costs					
	Architecture & Engineering	\$404,000	\$3,200	2.0% of Directs		
	Cost of Entitlements	\$1,063,000	\$8,500	5.3% of Directs		
	Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents	\$30,000	\$200	0.1% of Directs		
	Legal & Accounting	\$303,000	\$2,400	1.5% of Directs		
	Taxes & Insurance	\$303,000	\$2,400	1.5% of Directs		
	Developer Fee	\$808,000	\$6,500	4.0% of Directs		
	Marketing/Lease-Up	\$188,000	\$1,500	Allowance		
	Contingency	<u>\$155,000</u>	\$1,200	5.0% of Indirects		
	Subtotal Indirect Costs	\$3,254,000	\$26,000	16.1% of Directs		
III.	Permits and Fees					
	City Development Impact Fees (DIFs) (3)(4)	\$4,625,000	\$37,000	\$40 /SF GBA		
	RTCIP Fee ⁽³⁾	\$295,000	\$2,360	\$2,360 /Unit		
	Inclusionary In Lieu Fee (5)	\$1,719,000	\$13,800	\$15.18 /SF Net		
	San Diego Unified School District Impact Fee (6)	\$472,000	\$3,800	\$4.08 /SF GBA		
	Other City Permits and Fees (2)(7)	\$347,000	<u>\$2,800</u>	\$3 /SF GBA		
	Subtotal Permits & Fees	\$7,458,000	\$59,700	36.9% of Directs		
IV.	Financing Costs	\$1,616,000	\$12,900	8.0% of Directs		
V.	Subtotal Development Costs	\$32,522,000	\$260,200	\$281 /SF GBA		
VI.	Add: Land Acquisition Costs	\$7,623,000	\$61,000	\$35 /SF Land		
VII.	Total Development Costs	\$40,145,000	\$321,200	\$347 /SF GBA		

⁽¹⁾ Does not include allowance for demolition, remediation, or other extraordinary site conditions.

⁽²⁾ Estimate; not verified by KMA or City.

⁽³⁾ Source: City of San Diego FY 2020 Planning Department Fee and Deposit Schedule.

⁽⁴⁾ Reflects the median rate for multi-family residential in the FBA communities.

⁽⁵⁾ Source: City of San Diego Inclusionary Ordinance, as of July 1, 2020.

⁽⁶⁾ Source: San Diego Unified School District, as of January 1, 2020.

⁽⁷⁾ Reflects plan check, building permit, inspections, and other processing fees; water and sewer capacity charges; and fire permit fees.

TABLE B-3

NET OPERATING INCOME

CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

			Garden Apar	tments	
				Monthly	
I. Gross Scheduled Income	# Units	<u>Unit Size</u>	<u>\$/SF</u>	Rent	<u>Total</u>
One Bedroom	50	700 SF	\$2.85	\$1,995	\$1,197,000
Two Bedroom	62	1,000 SF	\$2.35	\$2,350	\$1,748,000
Three Bedroom	<u>13</u>	1,250 SF	<u>\$2.15</u>	<u>\$2,688</u>	<u>\$419,000</u>
Total/Average	125	910 SF	\$2.46	\$2,243	\$3,364,000
		475	/11 11 /2 /2 4 11		4442.000
Add: Other Income		\$75	/Unit/Month	1	<u>\$113,000</u>
Total Gross Scheduled Income (GSI)				\$3,477,000
II. Effective Gross Income					
(Less) Vacancy		5.0%	of GSI		<u>(\$174,000)</u>
Total Effective Gross Income (EGI)					\$3,303,000
III. Operating Expenses					
(Less) Operating Expenses		\$4,500	/Unit/Year		(\$563,000)
(Less) Property Taxes		\$3,104	/Unit/Year		(\$388,000)
(Less) Replacement Reserves		<u>\$300</u>	/Unit/Year		<u>(\$38,000)</u>
Total Operating Expenses		\$7,912	/Unit/Year		(\$989,000)
		29.9%	of EGI		
IV. Total Net Operating Income (NOI)					\$2,314,000

⁽¹⁾ Based on the cost approach to value assuming a 1.10% tax rate.

TABLE B-4

DEVELOPER PROFIT AND RETURN ON INVESTMENT CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Garden Apartments		
I. Developer Profit			
Net Operating Income (NOI)		\$2,314,000	
Capitalized Value of NOI	4.50% Cap Rate	\$51,422,000	
(Less) Cost of Sale	3.00% of Value	(\$1,543,000)	
Total Development Costs		(\$40,145,000)	
Developer Profit		\$9,734,000	
Per Unit		\$77,900	
% of Total Development Costs		24.2%	
% of Value		18.9%	
II. Return on Investment			
Stabilized NOI		\$2,314,000	
Total Development Costs		\$40,145,000	
Return on Investment		5.8%	

TABLE B-5

ESTIMATED POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM CITY OF SAN DIEGO

				Garden Apa	artments
ı.	Cos	st Savings on Architecture & Engineering Due to Expedited En	titlemen	ts	
		Typical Architecture & Engineering Costs Per Unit		\$3,200	/Unit
		(Less) Reduction in Architecture & Engineering Costs @	10%	<u>(\$300)</u>	/Unit
		Net Architecture and Engineering Costs		\$2,900	/Unit
II.	Cos	st Savings on Entitlement Costs Due to Expedited Entitlement	:s		
		Typical Entitlement Costs Per Unit		\$8,500	/Unit
		(Less) Reduction in Entitlement Costs @	20%	<u>(\$1,700)</u>	/Unit
		Net Entitlement Costs		\$6,800	/Unit
III.	Cos	st Savings on Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents			
		Typical Traffic Study/Environmental Document Costs Per Unit		\$200	/Unit
		Reduction in Traffic Study/Environmental Document Costs @		<u>(\$200)</u>	-
		Net Traffic Study/Environnmental Document Costs		\$0	/Unit
IV.	Cos	st Savings on Developer Overhead Fee			
	A.	Development Period (1)			
		Predevelopment Period		12	Months
		Construction Period		12	Months
		Leasing Period		<u>6</u>	Months
		Total Development Period (1)		30	Months
	В.	Estimated Time Savings		4.5	Months
		Time Savings as % of Total Development Period		15%	
	C.	Developer Fee During Total Development Period		\$6,500	/Unit
		Estimated Reduction in Savings per Unit		15%	
		Reduction in Developer Fee		(\$1,000)	/Unit
		Net Developer Overhead Fee		\$5,500	/Unit

⁽¹⁾ Assumes development period phases overlap.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE B-5

ESTIMATED POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

			Garden Apa	artments
v.	Cos	st Savings on Interest Carry		
	A.	Land		
		Land Costs per Unit	\$61,000	/Unit
		Average Balance Out	100%	
		Amount Financed	\$61,000	/Unit
	В.	Predevelopment Costs		
		Net Architecture & Engineering Costs	\$2,900	/Unit
		Net Entitlement Costs	\$6,800	/Unit
		Net Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents	\$0	/Unit
		Net Developer Overhead Fee	<u>\$5,500</u>	/Unit
		Total Predevelopment Costs	\$15,200	/Unit
		Average Balance Out	<u>50%</u>	
		Amount Financed	\$7,600	/Unit
	C.	Total Amount Financed (A+B)	\$68,600	/Unit
	D.	Time Savings	4.5	Months
	Ε.	Cost of Funds	7.5%	/Year
	F.	Total Savings on Interest Carry	\$1,900	/Unit
	G.	Total Financing Costs	\$12,900	/Unit
		(Less) Savings on Interest Carry	<u>(\$1,900)</u>	/Unit
		Net Financing Costs	\$11,000	/Unit
VI.	Tot	al Potential Cost Savings from Program		
	A.	Architecture and Engineering (I)	\$300	/Unit
	В.	Entitlement Costs (II)	\$1,700	/Unit
	C.	Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents (III)	\$200	/Unit
	D.	Developer Overhead Fee (IV)	\$1,000	/Unit
	E.	Interest Carry (V)	<u>\$1,900</u>	/Unit
	Tot	al Potential Cost Savings from Program	\$5,100	/Unit

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE B-6

IMPACT ON DEVELOPER PROFIT AND RETURN ON INVESTMENT FROM PROGRAM CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM CITY OF SAN DIEGO

		Garden Apartments
ı.	Developer Profit	
	A. Developer Profit - Before Program	\$77,900 /Unit
	B. Adjusted Developer Profit - After Program	\$83,000 /Unit
	C. Difference (B-A)	\$5,100 /Unit
11.	Return on Investment	
	A. Return on Investment - Before Program	5.8%
	B. Adjusted Return on Investment - After Program	5.9%

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

APPENDIX C

NON-RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPES

Citywide Active Transportation In Lieu Fee Program
Estimated Impacts and Cost Savings Analysis
City of San Diego

NON-RESIDENTIAL

TABLE C-1

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

		Low-Rise Office Building		Industrial Busine	Industrial Business Park		Hotel
I.	Site Size	5.0 Acres		5.0 Acres	5.0 Acres		
II.	Construction Type	Type III		Tilt-Up Con	Tilt-Up Concrete		
III.	Number of Stories	2 to 3 Stories		1 to 2 Stories	1 to 2 Stories		5
IV.	Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	0.40		0.35	0.35		
VI.	Number of Hotel Rooms Rooms per Acre						s s/Acre
VII.	Gross Building Area Net Rentable SF Common Area/Circulation Gross Building Area (GBA) Average Hotel Room Size (Gross)	82,650 SF 4,350 SF 87,000 SF	95% <u>5%</u> 100%	76,000 SF <u>0</u> SF 76,000 SF	100% <u>0%</u> 100%	75,000 SF 500 SF	100%
VIII.	Parking Parking Spaces Parking Ratio Type	348 Spaces 4.00 Spaces Surface	/1,000 SF	190 Spaces 2.50 Spaces Surface	s/1,000 SF	135 Spaces 0.90 Spaces	/Room

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE C-2 NON-RESIDENTIAL

DEVELOPMENT COSTS CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Low-Rise Office Building		Industrial	Business Park	Select Service Hotel		
	<u>Total</u>	Comments	Total	Comments	Total	Comments	
I. Direct Costs							
Off-Site Improvements (1)(2)	\$0	\$0 /SF Land	\$147,000	\$1.94 /SF GBA ⁽³⁾	\$0	\$0 /SF Land	
On-Site Improvements (1)(2)	\$1,525,000	\$7 /SF Land	\$871,000	\$4 /SF Land	\$1,307,000	\$10 /SF Land	
Parking	\$0	Included Above	\$0	Included Above	\$0	Included Above	
Shell Construction	\$13,050,000	\$150 /SF GBA	\$8,360,000	\$110 /SF GBA	\$12,000,000	\$160 /SF GBA	
FF&E/Amenities					\$3,000,000	\$20,000 /Room	
Tenant Improvements	\$2,893,000	\$35 /SF Net	\$0	\$0 /SF Net			
Contingency	<u>\$873,000</u>	5.0% of Directs	<u>\$469,000</u>	5.0% of Directs	<u>\$815,000</u>	5.0% of Directs	
Subtotal Direct Costs	\$18,341,000	\$211 /SF GBA	\$9,847,000	\$130 /SF GBA	\$17,122,000	\$228 /SF GBA	
II. Indirect Costs							
Architecture & Engineering	\$550,000	3.0% of Directs	\$197,000	2.0% of Directs	\$514,000	3.0% of Directs	
Cost of Entitlements	\$367,000	2.0% of Directs	\$197,000	2.0% of Directs	\$342,000	2.0% of Directs	
Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents	\$40,000	0.2% of Directs	\$40,000	0.4% of Directs	\$40,000	0.2% of Directs	
Legal & Accounting	\$183,000	1.0% of Directs	\$98,000	1.0% of Directs	\$257,000	1.5% of Directs	
Taxes & Insurance	\$275,000	1.5% of Directs	\$98,000	1.0% of Directs	\$257,000	1.5% of Directs	
Developer Fee	\$734,000	4.0% of Directs	\$394,000	4.0% of Directs	\$685,000	4.0% of Directs	
Marketing/Lease-Up	\$661,000	\$8 /SF Net	\$228,000	\$3 /SF Net	\$300,000	\$2,000 /Room	
Contingency	<u>\$141,000</u>	5.0% of Indirects	<u>\$63,000</u>	5.0% of Indirects	\$120,000	5.0% of Indirects	
Subtotal Indirect Costs	\$2,951,000	16.1% of Directs	\$1,315,000	13.4% of Directs	\$2,515,000	14.7% of Directs	
III. Permits and Fees							
City Development Impact Fees (DIFs) (4)(5)	\$1,150,000	\$230,000 /Acre	\$400,000	\$80,000 /Acre	\$690,000 \$230,000 /Acre		
Housing Impact Fee (4)	\$184,000	\$2.12 /SF GBA	\$61,000	\$0.80 /SF GBA	\$96,000	\$1.28 /SF GBA	
San Diego Unified School District Impact Fee (6)	\$57,000	\$0.66 /SF GBA	\$50,000	\$0.66 /SF GBA	\$50,000	\$0.66 /SF GBA	
Other City Permits and Fees (2)(7)	<u>\$435,000</u>	\$5 /SF GBA	<u>\$380,000</u>	\$5 /SF GBA	<u>\$375,000</u>	\$5 /SF GBA	
Subtotal Permits & Fees	\$1,826,000	10.0% of Directs	\$891,000	9.0% of Directs	\$1,211,000	7.1% of Directs	
IV. Financing Costs	\$1,467,000	8.0% of Directs	\$591,000	6.0% of Directs	\$1,370,000	8.0% of Directs	
V. Subtotal Development Costs	\$24,585,000	\$283 /SF GBA	\$12,644,000	\$166 /SF GBA	\$22,218,000	\$296 /SF GBA	
VI. Add: Land Acquisition Costs	\$8,712,000	\$40 /SF Land	\$4,356,000	\$20 /SF Land	\$5,227,000	\$40 /SF Land	
VII. Total Development Costs	\$33,297,000	\$383 /SF GBA	\$17,000,000	\$224 /SF GBA	\$27,445,000	\$366 /SF GBA	

⁽¹⁾ Does not include allowance for demolition, remediation, or other extraordinary site conditions.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

⁽²⁾ Estimate; not verified by KMA or City.

⁽³⁾ Reflects estimated cost of transportation-related mitigation costs, prior to adoption of the proposed Program.

Based on a survey of recent industrial development applications within Mobility Zone 4.

⁽⁴⁾ Source: City of San Diego FY 2020 Planning Department Fee and Deposit Schedule.

⁽⁵⁾ Reflects the median rate for commercial uses in the FBA communities.

⁽⁶⁾ Source: San Diego Unified School District, as of January 1, 2020.

⁽⁷⁾ Reflects plan check, building permit, inspections, and other processing fees; water and sewer capacity charges; and fire permit fees.

TABLE C-3

NET OPERATING INCOME

CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Low F	Rise Office Bui	lding	Indus	Industrial Business Park			Select-Service Hotel		
		Rent/SF/	_		Rent/SF/		•			_
I. Gross Scheduled Income	Rentable SF	Month ⁽¹⁾	<u>Total</u>	Rentable SF	Month (2)	<u>Total</u>	<u>Rooms</u>	<u>ADR</u>	<u>Occupancy</u>	<u>Total</u>
Total/Average	82,650 SF	\$3.70 /SF	\$3,670,000	76,000 SF	\$1.85 /SF	\$1,687,000	150 Rooms	\$160	78.0%	\$6,833,000
Add: Other Income			<u>\$0</u>			<u>\$0</u>	5.0%	of Roon	n Revenue	<u>\$342,000</u>
Total Gross Scheduled Incom	e (GSI)		\$3,670,000			\$1,687,000				\$7,175,000
II. Effective Gross Income										
(Less) Vacancy	5.0%	of GSI	(\$184,000)	5.0%	of GSI	(\$84,000)				<u>\$0</u>
Total Effective Gross Income	(EGI)		\$3,486,000			\$1,603,000				\$7,175,000
III. Operating Expenses										
(Less) Operating Expenses	\$12.50	/SF Net	(\$1,033,000)	15.0%	of EGI ⁽³⁾	(\$240,000)	65%	of EGI		(\$4,664,000)
IV. Net Operating Income (NOI) \$2,453,000					\$1,363,000				\$2,511,000	

⁽¹⁾ Lease rate assumes full-service gross (FSG).

⁽²⁾ Lease rate assumes industrial-gross.

⁽³⁾ Includes allowance for property taxes, insurance, and other unreimbursed operating expenses.

NON-RESIDENTIAL

TABLE C-4

DEVELOPER PROFIT AND RETURN ON INVESTMENT
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Low Rise Offic	ce Building	Industrial Business Park		Select-Serv	ice Hotel
I. Developer Profit						
Net Operating Income (NOI)		\$2,453,000		\$1,363,000		\$2,511,000
Capitalized Value of NOI	6.5% Cap Rate	\$37,738,000	7.0% Cap Rate	\$19,471,000	7.5% Cap Rate	\$33,480,000
(Less) Cost of Sale	3.0% of Value	(\$1,132,000)	3.0% of Value	(\$584,000)	3.0% of Value	(\$1,004,000)
Total Development Costs		(\$33,297,000)		(\$17,000,000)		(\$27,445,000)
Davidana Pustit		¢2 200 000		ć4 007 000		ĆE 024 000
Developer Profit		\$3,309,000		\$1,887,000		\$5,031,000
Per SF GBA		\$38		\$25		\$67
Per Hotel Room						\$33,500
% of Total Development Costs		9.9%		11.1%		18.3%
% of Value		8.8%		9.7%		15.0%
II. Return on Investment						
Stabilized NOI		\$2,453,000		\$1,363,000		\$2,511,000
Total Development Costs		\$33,297,000		\$17,000,000		\$27,445,000
Return on Investment		7.4%		8.0%		9.1%

TABLE C-5

ESTIMATED POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

				Low Rise Office Building	Industrial Business Park	Select-Service Hotel
ı.	Cos	st Savings on Off-Site Improvement Costs Due to Reduced Miti				
		Typical Off-Site Improvement Costs Per GBA		\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$1.94 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA
		(Less) Reduction in Off-Site Mitigation Costs @		<u>\$0.00</u> /SF GBA	<u>(\$1.94)</u> /SF GBA	<u>\$0.00</u> /SF GBA
		Net Off-Site Improvement Costs		\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA
II.	Cos	st Savings on Architecture & Engineering Due to Expedited Enti	tlement	is		
		Typical Architecture & Engineering Costs Per GBA		\$6.32 /SF GBA	\$2.59 /SF GBA	\$6.85 /SF GBA
		(Less) Reduction in Architecture & Engineering Costs @	10%	(\$0.63) /SF GBA	<u>(\$0.26)</u> /SF GBA	<u>(\$0.69)</u> /SF GBA
		Net Architecture and Engineering Costs		\$5.69 /SF GBA	\$2.33 /SF GBA	\$6.17 /SF GBA
 III.	Cos	st Savings on Entitlement Costs Due to Expedited Entitlements				
		Typical Entitlement Costs Per GBA		\$4.22 /SF GBA	\$2.59 /SF GBA	\$4.56 /SF GBA
		(Less) Reduction in Entitlement Costs @	20%	(\$0.84) /SF GBA	(\$0.52) /SF GBA	(\$0.91) /SF GBA
		Net Entitlement Costs		\$3.37 /SF GBA	\$2.07 /SF GBA	\$3.65 /SF GBA
ıv.	Cos	st Savings on Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents				
		Typical Traffic Study/Environmental Document Costs Per GBA		\$0.46 /SF GBA	\$0.53 /SF GBA	\$0.53 /SF GBA
		Reduction in Traffic Study/Environmental Document Costs @		(\$0.46) /SF GBA	(\$0.53) /SF GBA	<u>(\$0.53)</u> /SF GBA
		Net Traffic Study/Environnmental Document Costs		\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA
v.	Cos	st Savings on Developer Overhead Fee				
	A.	Development Period (2)				
		Predevelopment Period		12 Months	15 Months	15 Months
		Construction Period		12 Months	12 Months	18 Months
		Leasing Period		<u>6</u> Months	<u>6</u> Months	<u>0</u> Months
		Total Development Period ⁽²⁾		30 Months	33 Months	33 Months
	R	Estimated Time Savings		4.5 Months	4.5 Months	4.5 Months
	٥.	Time Savings as % of Total Development Period		15%	13%	13%
	C	Developer Fee During Total Development Period		\$8.44 /SF GBA	\$5.18 /SF GBA	\$9.13 /SF GBA
	С.	Estimated Reduction in Savings per GBA		15%	13%	13%
		Reduction in Developer Fee		(\$1.27) /SF GBA	(\$0.67) /SF GBA	(\$1.19) /SF GBA
		Net Developer Overhead Fee		\$7.17 /SF GBA	\$4.51 /SF GBA	\$7.95 /SF GBA
		<u> </u>			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,

⁽¹⁾ Reflects estimated cost of transportation-related mitigation costs, prior to adoption of the proposed Program. Based on a survey of recent industrial development applications within Mobility Zone 4.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

⁽²⁾ Assumes development period phases overlap.

TABLE C-5

ESTIMATED POTENTIAL COST SAVINGS FROM PROGRAM
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

		Low Rise Office Building	Industrial Business Park	Select-Service Hotel
VI. C	ost Savings on Interest Carry			
Δ	. Land			
	Land Costs per GBA	\$100.14 /SF GBA	\$57.32 /SF GBA	\$69.69 /SF GBA
	Average Balance Out	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>
	Amount Financed	\$100.14 /SF GBA	\$57.32 /SF GBA	\$69.69 /SF GBA
В	s. Predevelopment Costs			
	Net Architecture & Engineering Costs	\$5.69 /SF GBA	\$2.33 /SF GBA	\$6.17 /SF GBA
	Net Entitlement Costs	\$3.37 /SF GBA	\$2.07 /SF GBA	\$3.65 /SF GBA
	Net Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents	\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA
	Net Developer Overhead Fee	<u>\$7.17</u> /SF GBA	<u>\$4.51</u> /SF GBA	<u>\$7.95</u> /SF GBA
	Total Predevelopment Costs	\$16.24 /SF GBA	\$8.92 /SF GBA	\$17.76 /SF GBA
	Average Balance Out	<u>50%</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>50%</u>
	Amount Financed	\$8.12 /SF GBA	\$4.46 /SF GBA	\$8.88 /SF GBA
C	Total Amount Financed (A+B)	\$108.26 /SF GBA	\$61.77 /SF GBA	\$78.57 /SF GBA
D	D. Time Savings	4.5 Months	4.5 Months	4.5 Months
E	. Cost of Funds	7.5% /Year	7.5% /Year	7.5% /Year
F	. Total Savings on Interest Carry	\$3.04 /SF GBA	\$1.74 /SF GBA	\$2.21 /SF GBA
G	6. Total Financing Costs	\$16.86 /SF GBA	\$7.78 /SF GBA	\$18.27 /SF GBA
	(Less) Savings on Interest Carry	(\$3.04) /SF GBA	(\$1.74) /SF GBA	(\$2.21) /SF GBA
	Net Financing Costs	\$13.82 /SF GBA	\$6.04 /SF GBA	\$16.06 /SF GBA
VII. T	otal Potential Cost Savings from Program			
Δ	. Off-Site Improvement Costs (I)	\$0.00 /SF GBA	\$1.94 /SF GBA	\$0.00 /SF GBA
В	s. Architecture and Engineering (II)	\$0.63 /SF GBA	\$0.26 /SF GBA	\$0.69 /SF GBA
C	Entitlement Costs (III)	\$0.84 /SF GBA	\$0.52 /SF GBA	\$0.91 /SF GBA
0). Traffic Studies/Environmental Documents (IV)	\$0.46 /SF GBA	\$0.53 /SF GBA	\$0.53 /SF GBA
E	. Developer Overhead Fee (V)	\$1.27 /SF GBA	\$0.67 /SF GBA	\$1.19 /SF GBA
F	. Interest Carry (VI)	\$3.04 /SF GBA	<u>\$1.74</u> /SF GBA	<u>\$2.21</u> /SF GBA
Т	otal Potential Cost Savings from Program	\$6.25 /SF GBA	\$5.65 /SF GBA	\$5.53 /SF GBA
	Per Hotel Room			\$2,800 /Room

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE C-6

IMPACT ON DEVELOPER PROFIT AND RETURN ON INVESTMENT FROM PROGRAM
CITYWIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION IN LIEU FEE PROGRAM
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

	Low Rise Office Building	Industrial Business Park	Select-Service Hotel
I. Developer Profit			
A. Developer Profit - Before Program			
Per SF GBA	\$38 /SF GBA	\$25 /SF GBA	\$67 /SF GBA
Per Hotel Room			\$33,500 /Room
B. Adjusted Developer Profit - After Program			
Per SF GBA	\$44 /SF GBA	\$30 /SF GBA	\$73 /SF GBA
Per Hotel Room			\$36,300 /Room
C. Difference (B-A)			
Per SF GBA	\$6 /SF GBA	\$6 /SF GBA	\$6 /SF GBA
Per Hotel Room			\$2,800 /Room
II. Return on Investment			
A. Return on Investment - Before Program	7.4%	8.0%	9.1%
B. Adjusted Return on Investment - After Program	7.5%	8.2%	9.3%